

Counting points using uniform p -adic integration

Immi Halupczok

University of Leeds

20th March 2016

Goal/motivation

- ▷ Fix a variety V given by polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}[\underline{x}]$ ($\underline{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$)
- ▷ For p prime and $r \in \mathbb{N}$:
$$N_{p^r} := \#V(\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}) = \#\{\underline{x} \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})^n \mid f_1(\underline{x}) = \dots = f_\ell(\underline{x}) = 0\}$$
- ▷ The **Poincaré series** is:
$$P_{V,p}(Z) := \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} N_{p^r} Z^r \in \mathbb{Z}[[Z]]$$

Theorem (Denef, Igusa, Meuser; 80s)

$$P_{V,p}(Z) \in \mathbb{Q}(Z)$$

Theorem (Denef, Loeser, Macintyre, Pas; later)

“Uniformity in p ”: For $P_{V,p}(Z) = \frac{g_p(Z)}{h_p(Z)}$:

- ▷ *degree of $g_p(Z)$, $h_p(Z)$ bounded*
- ▷ *description of how the coefficients of g_p and h_p can depend on p*

This talk: a proof of this using uniform p -adic integration (\approx motivic integration)

Expressing things using the p -adic measure

- ▷ (Recall: variety V fixed)
- ▷ $N_{p^r} = \#V(\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}) = \#V(\mathbb{Z}_p/p^r\mathbb{Z}_p)$
- ▷ $X_r := \{\underline{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n \mid v(f(\underline{x})) \geq r\}$ is a union of translates of $B_r := (p^r\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$
- ▷ $N_{p^r} =$ number of translates of B_r covering X_r
$$= \underbrace{\mu(X_r)/\mu(B_r)}_{=p^{-n \cdot r}} \quad (\mu: \text{induced by Haar measure on } \mathbb{Q}_p \text{ with } \mu(\mathbb{Z}_p) = 1)$$
- ▷ Thus: Goal: understand $r \mapsto \mu(X_r)$
- ▷ A variant:
 - ▷ $\tilde{N}_{p^r} =$ number of points of $V(\mathbb{Z}_p/p^r\mathbb{Z}_p)$ that lift to $V(\mathbb{Z}_p)$
 $=$ number translates of B_r needed to cover $V(\mathbb{Z}_p)$
 $= \mu(\tilde{X}_r)/\mu(B_r)$ where $\tilde{X}_r = \{\underline{x} + \underline{x}' \mid \underline{x} \in V(\mathbb{Z}_p), \underline{x}' \in B_r\}$
- ▷ The following includes both versions and much more:

Theorem

Suppose X_r is a definable family of subsets of \mathbb{Q}_p^n , parametrized by $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \mu(X_r)Z^r \in \mathbb{Q}(Z)$.

Need to define “definable family” . . .

The Denef–Pas language

A **definable set** is a set given by a Denef–Pas formula.

A **definable family of sets** is a family of sets given by a Denef–Pas formula.

Example: $\tilde{X}_r = \{\underline{x} + \underline{x}' \mid \underline{x} \in V(\mathbb{Z}_p), \underline{x}' \in B_r\}$
 $= \{\tilde{\underline{x}} \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n \mid \phi(\tilde{\underline{x}}, r) \text{ holds}\}, \text{ where}$

$$\phi(\tilde{\underline{x}}, r) = \underbrace{\exists \underline{x}: (f_1(\underline{x})=0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_\ell(\underline{x})=0 \wedge v(x_1 - \tilde{x}_1) \geq r \wedge \cdots \wedge v(x_n - \tilde{x}_n) \geq r)}_{\text{Denef–Pas formula}}$$

A **Denef–Pas formula** is a mathematical expression built as follows:

- ▷ three sorts of variables: valued field vars, residue field vars, value group vars
- ▷ build terms:
 - ▷ in the valued field and the residue field: use $+$, $-$, \cdot and constants from \mathbb{Z}
 - ▷ in the value group: use $+$, $-$, 0
 - ▷ v : valued field \rightarrow value group,
 - ac: valued field \rightarrow residue field (ac = angular component map)
- ▷ build equations ($t_1 = t_2$) and, in the value group, inequations ($t_1 > t_2$)
- ▷ apply boolean combinations and quantifiers \forall, \exists

Note: Formulas work uniformly in p

A **definable function** is a function whose graph is a definable set.

Uniform p -adic integration

▷ Introduce “motivic functions”: expressions for functions $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where X is a definable set.

▷ **Uniform p -adic integration** = symbolic integration of such expressions

▷ **Example:** $X = \{(x, r) \in \mathbb{Q}_p \times \mathbb{Z} \mid \underbrace{0 \leq v(x) < r}_{\text{Denef-Pas formula}}\}$, $f(x, r) = \underbrace{p^{v(x)}}_{\text{motivic function}}$

$$\Rightarrow g(r) := \int_{X_r} f(x, r) dx = \underbrace{\frac{p-1}{p} \cdot r}_{\text{motivic function}}$$

▷ A **motivic functions** is a linear combination of products of:

▷ $\underline{x} \mapsto \mathbf{1}_Z(\underline{x})$ for a definable set Z

▷ $\underline{x} \mapsto p^{f(\underline{x})}$ for f a definable function into the value group

▷ $\underline{x} \mapsto f(\underline{x})$ for f a definable function into the value group

▷ A few others...

▷ Note: p is also a symbol, so this integration indeed treats all \mathbb{Q}_p uniformly...
...but – in some versions – only for p sufficiently big

▷ A key ingredient to make such symbolic integration possible:

“Cell decomposition”: a precise description of definable subsets of \mathbb{Q}_p

▷ Note: The same symbolic integration applied in other valued fields yields motivic integration

Application to our goal

▷ Recall:

Given a definable family of sets $X_r \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p^n$, parametrized by $r \in \mathbb{N}$, understand $r \mapsto \mu(X_r)$.

▷ $\mu(X_r) = \int_{X_r} 1 d\underline{x}$, so $\mu(X_r) = f(r)$ for some motivic function f .

▷ We now need to prove: For motivic $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have $\sum_r f(r)Z^r \in \mathbb{Q}(Z)$

▷ This is rather easy, using:

▷ motivic functions on \mathbb{Z} are given in terms of definable functions $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

▷ definable functions $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ are well understood (cf. Presburger arithmetic)

Thanks for your attention.