

p -adic L -function in Sage

Christian Wuthrich

March 22, 2016

A good ordinary example

Let E be the following curve

```
sage : e = EllipticCurve('446d1'); p=5; show(e)
```

$$y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 4x + 4$$

A good ordinary example

Let E be the following curve

```
sage : e = EllipticCurve('446d1'); p=5; show(e)
```

$$y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 4x + 4$$

It has rank 2 and good ordinary reduction at $p = 5$.

```
sage : e.rank()
```

2

```
sage : e.is_ordinary(p)
```

True

But it has anomalous reduction

```
sage : e.Np(p)
```

10

But it has anomalous reduction

```
sage : e.Np(p)
```

10

with Tamagawa numbers

```
sage : e.tamagawa_numbers()
```

[2, 1]

But it has anomalous reduction

```
sage : e.Np(p)
```

10

with Tamagawa numbers

```
sage : e.tamagawa_numbers()
```

[2, 1]

and no torsion point in $E(\mathbb{Q})$.

```
sage : tors= e.torsion_order();tors
```

1

The p -adic L-function is approximated by

```
sage : lp = e.padic_lseries(p); lps =  
lp.series(5, prec=7); lps
```

$$\begin{aligned} &O(5^7) + O(5^4) \cdot T + (5 + 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^2 \\ &\quad + (2 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^3 \\ &\quad \quad + (4 \cdot 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^4 \\ &\quad \quad \quad + (4 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 5^2 + O(5^3)) \cdot T^5 \\ &\quad \quad \quad \quad + (1 + 2 \cdot 5 + 5^2 + O(5^3)) \cdot T^6 + O(T^7) \end{aligned}$$

The p -adic L-function is approximated by

```
sage : lp = e.padic_lseries(p); lps =
lp.series(5, prec=7); lps
```

$$\begin{aligned}
 &O(5^7) + O(5^4) \cdot T + (5 + 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^2 \\
 &\quad + (2 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^3 \\
 &\quad \quad + (4 \cdot 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^4 \\
 &\quad \quad \quad + (4 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 5^2 + O(5^3)) \cdot T^5 \\
 &\quad \quad \quad \quad + (1 + 2 \cdot 5 + 5^2 + O(5^3)) \cdot T^6 + O(T^7)
 \end{aligned}$$

- Here we used a Riemann sum on $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times = \bigsqcup (a + p^5 \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

The p -adic L-function is approximated by

```
sage : lp = e.padic_lseries(p); lps =
lp.series(5, prec=7); lps
```

$$\begin{aligned}
 &O(5^7) + O(5^4) \cdot T + (5 + 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^2 \\
 &\quad + (2 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^3 \\
 &\quad \quad + (4 \cdot 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^4 \\
 &\quad \quad \quad + (4 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 5^2 + O(5^3)) \cdot T^5 \\
 &\quad \quad \quad \quad + (1 + 2 \cdot 5 + 5^2 + O(5^3)) \cdot T^6 + O(T^7)
 \end{aligned}$$

- Here we used a Riemann sum on $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times = \bigsqcup (a + p^5 \mathbb{Z}_p)$.
- We have $\text{ord}_{T=0} \mathcal{L}_p(E, T) \leq 2$.

The p -adic L-function is approximated by

```
sage : lp = e.padic_lseries(p); lps =
lp.series(5, prec=7); lps
```

$$\begin{aligned} &O(5^7) + O(5^4) \cdot T + (5 + 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^2 \\ &\quad + (2 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^3 \\ &\quad + (4 \cdot 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^4 \\ &\quad + (4 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 5^2 + O(5^3)) \cdot T^5 \\ &\quad + (1 + 2 \cdot 5 + 5^2 + O(5^3)) \cdot T^6 + O(T^7) \end{aligned}$$

- Here we used a Riemann sum on $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times = \bigsqcup (a + p^5 \mathbb{Z}_p)$.
- We have $\text{ord}_{T=0} \mathcal{L}_p(E, T) \leq 2$.
- The leading term has valuation 1.

The p -adic L-function is approximated by

```
sage : lp = e.padic_lseries(p); lps =
lp.series(5, prec=7); lps
```

$$\begin{aligned} &O(5^7) + O(5^4) \cdot T + (5 + 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^2 \\ &\quad + (2 \cdot 5 + 3 \cdot 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^3 \\ &\quad + (4 \cdot 5^2 + 4 \cdot 5^3 + O(5^4)) \cdot T^4 \\ &\quad + (4 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 5^2 + O(5^3)) \cdot T^5 \\ &\quad + (1 + 2 \cdot 5 + 5^2 + O(5^3)) \cdot T^6 + O(T^7) \end{aligned}$$

- Here we used a Riemann sum on $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times = \bigsqcup (a + p^5\mathbb{Z}_p)$.
- We have $\text{ord}_{T=0} \mathcal{L}_p(E, T) \leq 2$.
- The leading term has valuation 1.
- The sixth coefficient is a unit.

The p -adic regulator

$$\text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) / \log(\gamma)^2$$

evaluates to

The p -adic regulator

$$\text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) / \log(\gamma)^2$$

evaluates to

```
sage : reg = e.padic_regulator(p); R =
Qp(p, 10); lg = log(R(1+p)); reg = R(reg)/lg^2; reg
2 · 5-1 + 4 + 3 · 5 + 2 · 52 + 54 + 55 + 2 · 56 + 3 · 57 + O(58)
```

The p -adic regulator

$$\text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) / \log(\gamma)^2$$

evaluates to

```
sage : reg = e.padic_regulator(p); R =
Qp(p, 10); lg = log(R(1+p)); reg = R(reg)/lg^2; reg
2 · 5-1 + 4 + 3 · 5 + 2 · 52 + 54 + 55 + 2 · 56 + 3 · 57 + O(58)
```

Its valuation is -1 ; that is minimal for anomalous primes.

The p -adic regulator

$$\text{Reg}_p(E/\mathbb{Q}) / \log(\gamma)^2$$

evaluates to

```
sage : reg = e.padic_regulator(p); R =
Qp(p, 10); lg = log(R(1+p)); reg = R(reg)/lg^2; reg
2 · 5-1 + 4 + 3 · 5 + 2 · 52 + 54 + 55 + 2 · 56 + 3 · 57 + O(58)
```

Its valuation is -1 ; that is minimal for anomalous primes.
Kedlaya !!!!

Putting things together

```
sage : eps = (1-1/lp.alpha())^2;  
lps[2]/eps/reg/e.tamagawa_product()*tors^2  
1 + O(53)
```


Putting things together

```
sage : eps = (1-1/lp.alpha())^2;  
lps[2]/eps/reg/e.tamagawa_product()*tors^2  
1 + O(5^3)
```

- So $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[5^\infty] = 0$.

Putting things together

```
sage : eps = (1-1/lp.alpha())^2;  
lps[2]/eps/reg/e.tamagawa_product()*tors^2  
1 + O(5^3)
```

- So $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[5^\infty] = 0$.
- The p -adic BSD predicts $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \equiv 1 \pmod{125}$.

Putting things together

```
sage : eps = (1-1/lp.alpha())^2;
lps[2]/eps/reg/e.tamagawa_product()*tors^2
1 + O(5^3)
```

- So $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[5^\infty] = 0$.
- The p -adic BSD predicts $\#\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \equiv 1 \pmod{125}$.

```
sage : e.sha().an_padic(5,prec=7)
1 + O(5^5)
```

Actually we have

$$\mathcal{L}_5(E, T) = T \cdot ((T + 1)^5 - 1) \cdot u$$

for some unit $u \in \Lambda^\times$.

Actually we have

$$\mathcal{L}_5(E, T) = T \cdot ((T + 1)^5 - 1) \cdot u$$

for some unit $u \in \Lambda^\times$. It tells us $\mu = 0$ and $\lambda = 6$, but more

Actually we have

$$\mathcal{L}_5(E, T) = T \cdot ((T + 1)^5 - 1) \cdot u$$

for some unit $u \in \Lambda^\times$. It tells us $\mu = 0$ and $\lambda = 6$, but more

- $\text{rank}(E(K_n)) = 2 + 4 = 6$ for all $n > 0$ and

Actually we have

$$\mathcal{L}_5(E, T) = T \cdot ((T + 1)^5 - 1) \cdot u$$

for some unit $u \in \Lambda^\times$. It tells us $\mu = 0$ and $\lambda = 6$, but more

- $\text{rank}(E(K_n)) = 2 + 4 = 6$ for all $n > 0$ and
- $\text{III}(E/K_n)[5^\infty]$ is finite of bounded order.

Further examples

389a1	5	$1 + O(5^5)$
389a1	7	$1 + O(7^5)$
389a1	11	$1 + O(11^5)$
389a1	13	$1 + O(13^3)$
389a1	17	$1 + O(17^3)$
389a1	19	$1 + O(19^3)$
433a1	5	$1 + O(5^5)$
433a1	7	$1 + O(7^5)$
433a1	11	$1 + O(11^3)$
433a1	13	$1 + O(13^2)$
433a1	17	$1 + O(17^3)$
433a1	19	$1 + O(19^3)$
446d1	5	$1 + O(5^4)$
446d1	7	$1 + O(7^4)$
446d1	11	$1 + O(11^3)$
446d1	13	$1 + O(13^3)$
446d1	17	$1 + O(17^3)$

... and then

```
sage : e.sha().an_padic(19)
      1 + O(19)
```

gives a warning:

```
/usr/local/sage/.../polynomial_quotient_ring_element.py:391:
```

```
*****
```

Extended gcd computations over p-adic fields are performed using the standard Euclidean algorithm which might produce mathematically incorrect results in some cases.

This issue is being tracked at [http:](http://trac.sagemath.org/sage_trac/ticket/13439)

```
//trac.sagemath.org/sage_trac/ticket/13439.
```

```
*****
```

What could be better ?

What could be better ?

- Higher precision

What could be better ?

- Higher precision \rightarrow Overconvergent guys

What could be better ?

- Higher precision \rightarrow Overconvergent guys
- More curves

What could be better ?

- Higher precision \rightarrow Overconvergent guys
- More curves \rightarrow Numerical modular symbols

What could be better ?

- Higher precision \rightarrow Overconvergent guys
- More curves \rightarrow Numerical modular symbols
- More info

What could be better ?

- Higher precision → Overconvergent guys
- More curves → Numerical modular symbols
- More info → use Kurihara

What could be better ?

- Higher precision → Overconvergent guys
- More curves → Numerical modular symbols
- More info → use Kurihara
- More fields

What could be better ?

- Higher precision → Overconvergent guys
- More curves → Numerical modular symbols
- More info → use Kurihara
- More fields → twist by characters

What could be better ?

- Higher precision → Overconvergent guys
- More curves → Numerical modular symbols
- More info → use Kurihara
- More fields → twist by characters
- More objects

What could be better ?

- Higher precision → Overconvergent guys
- More curves → Numerical modular symbols
- More info → use Kurihara
- More fields → twist by characters
- More objects → class groups, `cm`, `ab.var`, ...

What could be better ?

- Higher precision → Overconvergent guys
- More curves → Numerical modular symbols
- More info → use Kurihara
- More fields → twist by characters
- More objects → class groups, cm , $ab.var$, ...
- More approaches

What could be better ?

- Higher precision → Overconvergent guys
- More curves → Numerical modular symbols
- More info → use Kurihara
- More fields → twist by characters
- More objects → class groups, cm , $ab.var$, ...
- More approaches → Euler systems? ... ?

Numerical modular symbols

Given E with nice, but large N . Compute $\int_r^\infty 2\pi if(z)dz$

Numerical modular symbols

Given E with nice, but large N . Compute $\int_r^\infty 2\pi if(z)dz$

```
sage : E = EllipticCurve([101,103]);  
E.conductor().factor()
```

$$2^3 * 79 * 55793$$

Numerical modular symbols

Given E with nice, but large N . Compute $\int_r^\infty 2\pi if(z)dz$

```
sage : E = EllipticCurve([101,103]);  
E.conductor().factor()
```

$$2^3 * 79 * 55793$$

```
sage : m=E.modular_symbol(method="num"); m(2/7)
```

$$-1/2$$

Numerical modular symbols

Given E with nice, but large N . Compute $\int_r^\infty 2\pi if(z)dz$

```
sage : E = EllipticCurve([101,103]);
E.conductor().factor()
```

$$2^3 * 79 * 55793$$

```
sage : m=E.modular_symbol(method="num"); m(2/7)
```

$$-1/2$$

$[0]^\pm$ takes < 1 sec. $\{[\frac{a}{5^2}]\}$, too. $[\frac{137}{731}]^\pm$ takes < 1 min

Kurihara's theorem

If ... then there is an effective way of computing integers m_i such that

$$\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] = (\mathbb{Z}/p^{m_1}\mathbb{Z})^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/p^{m_s}\mathbb{Z})^2$$

Kurihara's theorem

If ... then there is an effective way of computing integers m_i such that

$$\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p^\infty] = (\mathbb{Z}/p^{m_1}\mathbb{Z})^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/p^{m_s}\mathbb{Z})^2$$

This uses Stickelberger elements

$$\Theta_m = \sum_{a \bmod^\times m} \left[\frac{a}{m}\right]^+ \sigma_a \in \mathbb{Q}[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q})]$$

```
sage : any questions?
```

```
...
```